

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/537,118	Applicant(s) DUGGER, HARRY	
	Examiner Mina Haghighatian	Art Unit 1616	

All Participants:

(1) Mina Haghighatian.

(2) James Brady.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 November 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

28, 29, 55, 81 and 82

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner telephoned Mr. Brady to discuss certain amendments which could place the claims in condition for allowance. Namely the language of claims 81 and 82 needed to be changed from "comprising" in a markush group to "selected from the group consisting of". The concentration ranges for polar solvent in claims 28, 29 and 55 did not correspond exactly to the concentration ranges disclosed in the specification. Mr. Brady approved the amendments, which are stated in an Examiner's Amendment. .